THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

W.P.No.28229 of 1996

Date:17.02.2006

Between:

P. Laxma Reddy

-------PETITIONER

AND

The Superintending Engineer and others.

---------RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

The petitioner challenges the action of the respondents in recommending the name of the third respondent for the purpose of executing the works for formation of an irrigation tank.

The record discloses that no interim orders were passed at the stage of admission. Ten years have elapsed. It is represented that the work has since been awarded to the third respondent, but was cancelled later on. Therefore, the cause of action in this writ petition does not survive.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous.

_____

17.02.2006

kdl